

Mike Canney Principal Network Analyst getpackets.com

My contact info

Mike Canney, Principal Network Analyst, getpackets.com canney@getpackets.com 319.389.1137

Capture Strategies

Capture to Disk Appliance (CDA)

Commercial vs. Free Capture

- Define your capture strategy
 - Data Rates
 - What are my goals? Troubleshooting vs.
 Statistical information.
 - Do I need to capture every packet?

SPAN vs. TAP

Guidelines and Limitations for SPAN

SPAN traffic is rate-limited as follows on Nexus 5500 series switches to prevent a negative impact to production traffic:

- SPAN is rate-limited to 5 Gbps for every 8 ports (one ASIC).
- RX-SPAN is rate-limited to 0.71 Gbps per port when the RX-traffic on the port exceeds 5 Gbps.

Receives copies of sent and received traffic for all monitored source ports. If a destination port is oversubscribed, it can become congested. This congestion
can affect traffic forwarding on one or more of the source ports.

Capture to Disk Appliance (on a budget)

- dumpcap is a command line utility included with the Wireshark download to enable ring buffer captures.
- Use an inexpensive PC or laptop (best to have 2 NICs or more).
- Basic batch file to initiate capture.
- Cascade Pilot

What is needed?

Dumpcap Example

cd \program files (x86)\wireshark dumpcap -i 1 -s 128 -b files:100 -b filesize: 2000000 –w c:\traces\internet \headersonly1.pcap

This is a basic batch file that will capture off of interface 1, slice the packets to 128 bytes, write 100 trace files of ~2 Gigabytes, and write the trace file out to a pcap file.

So why did I write multiple 2 Gig trace files?

- Pilot!
- Pilot can easily read HUGE trace files.
- This allows us to utilize our CDA in ways no other analyzer can.
- I personally have sliced and diced 100 GB trace files in Pilot in a matter of seconds.

So how does this all work together?

- Directory full of 2GB trace files, all time stamped based on when they were written to disk.
- User calls in and complains that "the network" is slow.
- Locate that trace file based on time and date and launch Pilot.

Instructor Demo

Troubleshooting user "Network Issue"

Think about what you just saw

- From a 2 GB trace file we were able to:
 - Look at the total Network throughput.
 - See what applications were consuming the bandwidth.
 - Identify the user that was responsible for consuming the bandwidth.
 - Identify the URI's the user was hitting and what the response times were.
 - Drill down to the packets involved in the slow web response time in Wireshark.
- All in a matter of a few seconds.

Much better and Still on a Budget...

- vShark
 - Cascade Virtual Shark
 - Low cost, up to 2 TB of capture capability
 - Can analyze within a ESX server as well as build a stand alone CDA
 - Great for 1 GB SPANs and below
 - My new, CDA of choice

Application Analysis

Using Pilot and Wireshark to troubleshoot Application issues

So why focus on the Application?

- In many cases it is the Network Engineers that have the tool set to help pinpoint where the problem exists.
- "It's not the Network!" The Network is guilty until proven innocent.
- Application performance issues can impact your business/customers ability to make money.
- User Response time is "Relative".
- Intermittent performance issues (moving target).

The "moving target"

Analyzer placement - Two options

 Move the analyzers as needed
 Capture anywhere and everywhere

• To defend the Network multiple capture points of the problem is often the best solution.

Scenario X

Your company has a remote site that is connected back to the Data Center via a T1. There are 25-30 users at this site and they are complaining that the Network is slow. Not only is is slow but it is down. When you check your bandwidth usage you see that it is only ~300Kbps. You decide to take a trace file at the Data Center and at the Remote site to see what is going on.

Why are there so many application issues?

- Applications are typically developed in a "golden" environment
 - Fastest PCs
 - High Bandwidth/low latency

 When applications move from test (LAN) to production (WAN) the phone starts ringing with complaints coming in.

The Application QA Lifecycle

- In most organizations, applications go through a QA process
- Typical QA/App developers test the following:
 - Functional tests
 - Regression tests
 - Stress tests (server)
 - Rinse and Repeat
- What is often missing is "Networkability" testing
- All QA Lifecycles should include Networkability testing

Application Networkablility Testing

testing

- Identify key business transactions, number of users and network conditions the application will be deployed in.
- Simulation vs. Emulation
 - Simulation is very quick, often gives you rough numbers of how an application will perform over different network conditions.
 - Emulation is the only way to determine when an application will "fail" under those conditions.
- A Combination of both is recommended.

Top Causes for Poor Application Performance

• TCP

- Application Turns
- Misconfigured Devices
- Layer 7 Bottlenecks
- Congestion (network)
- Processing Delay

Causes for Slow Application Performance



When are TCP ACKs sent?

4.2.3.2 When to Send an ACK Segment

TCP Window Size

- The TCP Window Size defines the host's receive buffer.
- Large Window Sizes can sometimes help overcome the impact of latency.

 Depending on how the application was written, advertised TCP Window Size may not have an impact at all (more on this later).

TCP Inflight Data

- The amount of unacknowledged TCP data that is on the wire at any given time.
- TCP inflight data in limited by the following:
 - TCP Retransmissions
 - TCP Window Size
 - Application block size
- The amount of TCP inflight data will never exceed the receiving devices advertised TCP Window Size.

Scenario VII – The Slow File Transfer

 A customer is having an issue with their FTP to one of their customers. They had recently installed a dedicated FTP server for this specific customer. They had a 10Mbps MPLS connection and as expected, the Network was being blamed for the poor file transfers. When we checked the Bandwidth usage on the MPLS connection all looked well. In fact, they were hardly using any of their bandwidth. The customer was planning to upgrade the MPLS connection from 10Mbps to 20Mbps in hopes to speed up the file transfers but decided to let us help them take a look at the issue before making the purchase of the additional bandwidth.

Causes for Slow Application Performance

Application Turns

Application Turns

- An Application Turn is a request/response pair
- For each "turn" the application must wait the full round trip delay.
- The greater the number of turns, the worse the application will perform over a WAN (latency bound).

Example in Wireshark

Display Filter:

Filter: smb.cmd

	2min I	Pend Diverse	Bulles In Flagra Discrete Artes	Income	arb
10.008368	0.096607	237 192.168.151.108	183 192.168.151.122	SNB	Read Andx Response, FID: Oxc00d, 61440 bytes
10.008935	0.000547	117 192.168.151.122	63 192.168.151.108	SME	Read Andx Request, FID: OxcODd, 61440 bytes at offset 61440
10.105423	0.096488	237 192.168.151.108	183 192.168.151.122	SNB	Read AndX Response, FID: Oxc00d, 61440 bytes
10.105972	0.000549	117 192,168,151,122	63 192, 168, 151, 108	518	Read Andx Request, FID: OxcOOd, 61440 bytes at offset 122880
10.202297	0.096325	237 192, 168, 151, 108	183 192.168.151 122	SHE	Read Andx Response, FID: OxcOOd, 61440 bytes
10.202691	0.000394	117 192.168.151.122	63 197.168.151.108	SNE	Read Andx Request, FID: Oxc00d, 51440 bytes at offset 184320
10.299228	0.096537	237 192.168,151.108	183 192.168.151.122	5748	Read Andx Response, FID: OxcOOd, 51440 bytes
10.299569	0.000341	117 192.168.151.122	63 197.168.151.108	SVB	Read Andx Request, FID: OxcOOd, 16384 bytes at offset 245760
10.358427	0.058858	441 192.168.151.108	387, 192, 168, 151, 122	SME	Read Andx Response, FID: Oxc00d, 16384 bytes
10.358662	0.000235	117 192.168.151.122	63 192.168.151.108	518	Read Andx Request, FID: Oxc00d, 45056 bytes at offset 262144
10.441337	0.082675	1373 192,168,151,108	1319 192, 168, 151, 122	518	Read Andx Response, FID: OxcOOd, 45056 bytes
10.445493	0.004156	117 192.168.151.122	63 192.168.151.108	53/8	Read AndX Request, FID: Oxc00d, 61440 bytes at offset 307200
10.541826	0.096333	237 192.168.151.108	183 197.168.151.122	510	Read AndX Response, FID: OxcOOd, 61440 bytes
10.542163	0.000337	117 192.168,151.122	63 192.168.151.105	SMB	Read Andx Request, FID: Oxc00d, 61440 bytes at offset 368640
10.638633	0.096470	237 192.168.151.108	183 192.168.151.122	SVB	Read Andx Response, FID: OxcOOd, 61440 bytes
10.639010	0.000377	117 192.168.151.122	63 192,168,151,106	SME	Read Andx Request, FID: OxcOOd, 61440 bytes at offset 430080
10.735377	0.096367	237 192.168,151.108	183 197, 168, 151, 122	SH8	Read Andx Response, FID: OxcOOd, 61440 bytes

Packets: 22388 Displayed: 882

882 Application Turns in this trace

App Turns and Latency

atency

- It is fairly easy to determine App Turns impact on end user response time
 - Multiply the number of App Turns by the round trip delay:
 - 10,000 turns * .050 ms delay = 500 seconds due to latency
- Note, this has nothing to do with Bandwidth or the Size of the WAN Circuit

So what causes all these App Turns?

- Size of a fetch in a Data Base call
- Number of files that are being accessed
- Loading single images in a Web Page instead of using an image map
- Number of bytes being retrieved and how they are being retrieved (block size)

Pop Quiz!

- We have an application that uses a 16,384 KB block size
- This application is going to be rolled out over a DS3 with 40 ms of round trip latency.
- Users are complaining that the network is slow and they need to upgrade the DS3 to a 1 Gbps dedicated link.
- What kind of throughput should I expect?

Hint...

• Forget about the bandwidth, it has nothing to do with the throughput

- Very simple calculation
- Throughput = Blocksize / Round Trip Delay
- (16,384 / .04) * 8 = 3,278,800 bits per second
- Again, note, this has NOTHING to do with bandwidth it's all on the application.

Remember to Calculate BDP

- Bandwidth Delay Product
- BW * RT Latency /8 = offered load to fill the pipe
- (44,000,000 * .04) /8 = 220,000 bits per second to fill the DS3

TCP Inflight Data in Wireshark

r Interface	Columns					and the second second	
Layout	Distance	Ine	Faid tune	a column - Liring inno	orop ennes to cran	ge coumn order:	
Courrs	E	No.	Number				
roni	F	Time	Time (format as specified)				
Coors	F	Delta	Delta time displayed				
hure.	7	Bytes	Packet length (bytes)				
and South News	P	Source	Source address				
interesting of the	2	Bytes In Fig	t Custom (top.len)				
nonis	R	Destnation	Destination address				
	N	Protocol	Protocol				
	ম	Info	Information				
	Add	Properties	Field type:			*	
	Trave-survey						
	Elemove]	Field name: top.ien				

TCP Inflight Data in Wireshark

54	•	(hele	Think	davie		Brees to Mager	Interior		Permit	
413	14198	0.000170	- 19	20 141 199 121	.109	7	197.19	5.131.124	Sol	AT TFARE RESponse, AT NOILFY
4.1	88215	0.000064		4 192.165.151	122		192.16	5.151.10	TCP	1041 > 445 [ACK] Segr682 Ack=2514 Wine63969 Len=0
4.1	88499	0.000254	14	2 192.168.151	.172	80	192.16	8.151.108	5146	Trans2 Request, SET_FILE_INFO, FID: 0xc000
4.8	858528	0.000329	14	2 192.168.151	.122	51	192.16	8.151.108	SMB	NT Trans Request, NT NOTIFY, FID: 0x4005
4.5	33909	0.045081	11	8 192.168.151	108	6	192.16	8.151.122	SME	Trans2 Response, FID: 0xc000, SET_FILE_INFO
4.5	34090	0.000181	13	30 192.168.151	.105	71	192.16	8.151.171	SNE	NT Trans Response, NT NOTEFY
4.5	34149	0.000059		4 192.168.151	122		197.16	5.151.108	TCP	1041 > 445 [ACK] Seg=858 Ack=2654 win=63829 Len=0
4.5	19191	0.005244	14	12 192.168.151	122	81	1 192.16	5,151,108	SHE	NT Trans Request, NT NOTIFY, FID: 0x4005
1	97543	0.258150		0 192, 166, 151	3.08	1	192.16	8,151,173	TOP	445 > 1041 [ACK] Sege2654 Acke845 wine53663 Lane0
5.0	97735	0.000197	14	2 192, 168, 151	122		197.16	8,151,100	TIME	NT Trans Request, NT NOTLEY, FID: 0x4006
1	43047	0.045307	11	10 192 165 151	105	71	192.16	8 151 121	SHE	NT Trans Response, NT NOTIEY
1	41144	0.000302	14	2 102 168 151	122		197 16	8 151 108	CUE	AT Trans Backest AT MOTTEY ETB: 0x4006
	INCARA.	0.263060	- 7	0 102 168 351	108		107 16	8 551 133	102	445 - 1041 [acv] Same2780 Artw1122 winn63487 (ann0)
10.1	14550	1 310165	14	14 103 168 161	135		107 16	6 151 100	E HD	Trans Baniast ETLD ETEST Battanes 10
10.11	30722	0.017480		191.100.131	105	2.441	191.40	0-131-100	310	Tanas Request, FARS, FALCETHI T
9.1	84039	0.047450	454	re 145-100-131	108	140	192.10	0.131.14	TOP	LICP segment of a reassembled rooj
34	54098	0.000059	74	14 131-100-121	108	200	137.19	8.151.11	2105	Transz Response, FIND_FIRSTE, Files:
0.7	84142	0.000044	1.1	4 192.168.151	-122	144	192.16	5-191-108	107	1041 > 445 [ACK] Seg=1212 Ack+4450 W10+04240 Len=0
.9.4	90051	2.905939	151	4 192.168.151	.122	1460	192.16	8.151.10	TOP	[TCP segment of a reassembled POU]
9.6	90373	0.000292	151	4 192.165.151	.122	1460	192.16	8.151.108	TCP	[TCP segment of a reassembled POU]
9.6	90457	0.000084	151	4 192.168,151	.122	1460	192.16	8.151.10	TCP	[TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
9.6	90536	0.000079	151	14 192.168.151	.122	1460	192.16	8.151.106	TCP	[TCP segment of a reassembled POU]
9.6	90620	0.000064	152	4 192.168.151	.122	1460	197.16	8.151.106	TOP	[TCP segment of a reassembled FOU]
9.6	890698	0.000075	151	4 192.168.151	.122	1460	192.16	8.151.108	TCP	[TCP segment of a reassembled POU]
9.8	90777	0.000079	151	4 192.168.151	.122	1460	192.16	8.151.108	TOP	[TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
9.6	90654	0.000077	151	4 192.168.151	.122	1460	197.16	8.151.108	TCP	[TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
9.8	90932	0.000078	151	4 197.165.151	122	1460	197 16	8.151.108	TOP	(TCP segment of a reassembled POU)
9.6	91010	0.000078	151	4 192, 168, 151	.122	1460	197.16	8,151,108	TCP	[TCP segment of a reassembled POUL
9.6	01089	0.000079	151	4 192 168 151	122	146/	197,16	8 151 100	TCP	TCP segment of a reassambled POUL
10.12			1.5						2100	The second of the second s

The Bytes in Flight Column shows us how much payload is in each packet.

Easier way for SMB/CIFS

aper unitertace	ti di la constante	the first lat write will be declared as the leftmost column - Deap and drop writes to change column order.		
Column	Displayed Title	field type		
Engl	E No.	Number		
Colora	F Time	Time (format as specified)		
Tarch era	Deta	Delta time displayed		
in the second seco	🗹 Bytes	Padiet length (bytes)		
inne Deerik for	P Source	Source address		
Ratic Mesonation	Bytes In	Hight Custom (tcp.len)		
in an insta	P Destruto	n Destination address		
1000005	SME Byte	Custom (units file, rw. length)		
	Protocol	Protocol		
	Infp.	Information		
	Add Propert	es Field type:		
	- server]	Field nome: jumb.file.rw.length		
200 1				
(Seib)		DK 900V	Seaces	

TCP Inflight Data in Wireshark

TCP Retransmissions

- Every time a TCP segment is sent, a retransmission timer is started.
- When the Acknowledgement for that segment is received the timer is stopped.

 If the retransmission timer expires before the Acknowledgement is received, the TCP segment is retransmitted.

TCP Retransmissions

tcp flow

- Excessive TCP Retransmissions can have a huge impact on application performance.
- Not only does the data have to get resent, but TCP flow control (Slow Start) kicks into action.

ULPs (upper layer protocols)

- TCP often gets blamed for the ULPs problem.
 - The application hands down to TCP amount of data to go retrieve (application block size)
 - TCP then is responsible for reliably getting that data back to the application layer
 - TCP has certain parameters in which to work with and can usually be tuned based on bandwidth and latency
 - Many times too much focus is put on "tuning" TCP as the fix for poor performance in the network

If the TCP advertised receive window is set to 64K and the application is only handing down to TCP requests for 16K, where is the bottleneck?

ULPs (upper layer protocols)

Case in point: CIFS/SMB

Troubleshooting CIFS/SMB

 Arguably the most common File Transfer method used in businesses today.

SMB was NOT developed with the WAN in mind.

 One of the most "chatty" protocols/ applications I run into (with the exception of poorly written SQL).

CIFS/SMB Quiz

What is faster using MS File Sharing?
– Pushing a file to a file server?
– Pulling a file from a file server?

ULPs (upper layer protocols)

ULPs (upper layer protocols)

CIFS/SMB

What is faster using MS File Sharing?
– Pushing a file to a file server?
– Pulling a file from a file server?

- SMB Write (Pushing the file) can almost be 2X as fast as pulling (SMB Read)
- Depends on the Latency

SMB Maximum Transmit Buffer Size

- Negotiated MaxBufferSize in the Negotiate
 Protocol response
- Default for Windows servers is typically 16644 (dependent upon physical memory)

- Client default typically 4356

• Caveat:

- SMB is extremely dependent upon the API
 - Even though you set the max buffer size to 64K, windows "share" data will always get truncated to 60K (61440) even though the server can support 64K

Custom SMB APIs

 The Windows limitation can be exceeded by programs written to use SMB as they file transfer protocol

SHOULS ------

Pheri Jack and		- A figures	One hady		
No. of Concern	loss in the	ter and the second second	How where	(home	There's parameter with story in that
# 38176s	0 350178	1418 102 166 163 173	1564 163 168 181 108	ATT IS NOT	in the lady the state and the state of a state of a state of the state
6 720478	6 647684	104 103 148 151 100	E1 187 128 187 189	21110 100	in the work dependence of the Destroy based of the destroy of
6 373000	0.004513	105 202 100 122 200	24 476 400 424 444	577.75 SHO	HTTLE WOLL READERSE, FID. DATAGE, 05550 Dytes
6,3/3990	0.074344	1715 172 100 1111 100	1704 172 100 1174 100	83336 546	write wash Reputst, Fin, Savano, Soom often at offise soils
0.420427	0,040437	193 197 100 131 199	21 122 109 121 144	82236,578	Write Anax Response, Fig. Checke, 00000 oytes
0.492078	0.071051	1418 181.100.131.201	7304 745 708 723 708	83330 248	write which request, FID: 0x4000, 05550 bytes at offset 131072
0.540963	0.046850	105 152.166,151.106	51 192 166 151 122	\$3536 SMB	write Ands Response, FID: 0x4000, 65536 bytes
0.612073	0.071107	1418 192.168.131.122	1364 192.160.151.100	65536 SHB	write Ands Request, FID: Gx4000, 65536 bytes at offset 196606
0,659702	0.047627	103 192.108.151.108	51 192.160.151.122	63336 548	write Ands Response, FID: Gx400b, 05536 bytes
6.730775	0.071073	1418 192 168 151 122	1364 192.168.151.108	61536.540	write Ands Request, FID: 0x400b, 65536 bytes at offset 262244
6.777258	0.046483	105 252.168.151.108	51 192.168,151,122	65536 SMD	write Andx Response, FID: 0x400b, 65536 bytes
6.859852	0.082594	1418 192.168.151.122	1564 192 168 151 108	45536 SMB	write Andr Request, FID: 0x400b, 65536 bytes at offict 327680
6,009832	0.049980	105 192, 168, 151, 106	51 292 166, 151, 122	65536 5HD	write Andx Response, FID: 0x400b, 65536 bytes
6.981768	0.071936	1418 192, 168, 151, 122	1364 192.168.151.108	65536 510	write Arubi Request, FID: 0x400b, 65536 bytes at offust 303316
7.028324	0.046956	105 192.168.151.108	51 192 168 151 122	65336.5HB	write Ands Response, FID: 0x400b, 65536 bytes
7,094564	0.066240	1418 192.168.193.122	1364 197.168.151.108	8533E SH0	write Ands Ranguest, FID: 0x400b, 65536 bytes at offsat 458752
7.142101	0.047559	105 192, 168, 151, 108	\$1 197, 168, 151, 122	#1536 SHE	write Andx Response, FID: 0x400b, 65536 bytes
7,208185	0.046003	1418 297 168 151 122	1364 192 168 151 108	65536 GMB	write Ands Raquest, FID: 0x400b, 85536 bytes at officet \$24288
7.255740	0.047554	105 197 168 151 108	51 192 168 191 122	65556 5/10	write Ands Response, FID: 0x400b, 65538 bytes
7.327781	0.072041	1418 192 168 151 122	1354 197-168 151 208	65536 546	write ands Request, FID: 0x8000, 65536 bytes at offsat 588534
7. 375325	0.047542	105 192 168 151 108	51 192 168 151 177	61116 516	write ands Samoons, FID: 0+400, 65536 bytes
7.439171	0.061846	1418 192, 168, 191, 122	1364 197 168 151 168	65136 546	write ANDS Regiest, PTO: 0x400b 65536 bytes at offset 655360
7.487444	0.048273	105 192 168 151 106	\$1 197 168 151 122	65556 500	write ands Response FID: 0.4008 65536 hotes
7.551070	0.061626	1418 182 168 151 122	1564 165 168 153 108	A1116	write Ands Remark, FTD: 0x600b, 05536 hurst at affact 730696
7 507865	0.046455	105 107 366 157 108	\$1 187 168 151 177	#11.1E +100	Write and demonstra Prov 0.400b 0515 bates
7.650313	0.061746	1418 142 168 183 193	1462 185 168 151 178	655 TE CLE	Write and Resident The Output State burge of office 784417
7 700124	N. NUL/ND	100 100 100 100 100	41 167 164 151 150	67736 STB	WITCH WINN NEWSELS TAW WINNES, UNDER NEWSELS AL WITSEL (SERIAL

Note the SMB writes of 65,536 This is a file transfer using a custom API on a Windows XP machine

Instructor Demo of SMB Profiles

Demo of SMB Tracefiles

My personal SMB Profile

eshark: Preferenc	ces - Profile: SMB		
r Enterfacie avout	Columna	[The first list entry will be displayed as the lefterost column - Drag and drop entries to	o change column order]
ourre-	Displayed Title	Feld type	
ant	P No.	Minber	
pione	F Time	Time (format as specified)	
tre	F Bytes	Packet length daylesi)	
ina	🗭 948 Byte Cou	it Custom (smb.bcc)	
Resolution	F SNB Time	Custom (smb.tane)	
otica	P SNE CMD	Custum (smb.cmd)	
cols	P Block Size	Custom (smb.file.rw.kingth)	
0000	F TCP WIN	Custom (tcp.window_size)	
	P INFLIGHT	Custom (top.analysis.bytes_m_flight)	
	File Data	Custom (smb.file)	
	P SMB Bytes	Custoni (smb.offset)	
	P Source	Source address	
	P Destruction	Destination address	
	🗭 Info	Information	
	at attac		
	Freeeries		
	Add	Told term Number	(a)
	Termest	Lagrandian Language	<u></u>
	Bencve	1 and rears	

Take Away Points

 Building your own CDA is easy to do and may fit in a majority of the areas you need to capture from

- Pilot, Pilot, Pilot, it's not just a fancy reporting engine for Wireshark!
- Test your applications "Networkability" before they hit production.
- Use the Wireshark Profiles, they will save you a ton of time.

Mike Canney

Principal Network Analyst canney@getpackets.com