SHARK DEVELOPER AND USER CONFERENCE WIRESHARK DEVELOPER AND USER CONFERENCE SSLDCES NOT MEAN SO What if you don't have the server keys?

COMPUTER HISTORY MUSEUM

J. Scott Haugdahl Architect, Blue Cross Blue Shield MN

Robert Bullen Systems Engineer, Blue Cross Blue Shield MN

Setting Expectations

- This session is not about..
 - An introduction to SSL encryption
 - How to set up SSL decryption in Wireshark
 - A detailed walk through of the SSL handshake and all the variants
- This session is about...
 - What you can do when you do not have access to server keys
 - Calculating server command response time from SSL, even in the cloud
 - Using encrypted data to your advantage
 - Identifying application layer behavior based on SSL patterns
 - Walking through real world examples using Wireshark
 - Focus will be on helping you to analyze application performance more so than security breaches, suspicious activity, etc.

A (very) Brief History of Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)



- Used to encrypt + protect integrity of network data
 - SSL 2.0 was first "public release" in 1995
 - SSL 3.0 released in 1996 forming the foundation for Transport Layer Security (TLS) 1.0 (RFC 2246, 1999)
 - TLS 1.0 is not backward compatible with SSL 3.0!
 - Upgraded to TLS 1.1 (RFC 4346, 2006) and TLS 1.2 (RFC 5346, 2008)
- Supports a wide variety of encryption algorithms
 - RSA and DSA are asymmetric (public key encrypts; private key decrypts) used to exchange and generate key information during the SSL handshake
 - AES and 3DES are symmetric algorithms (one key encrypts and decrypts) used to transfer data (much faster to compute) after the SSL handshake
- TLS 1.0 or higher is recommended practice
 - Many clients & systems now support TLS 1.2 which addresses some vulnerabilities

What's so Special About the Client Key Exchange?



Both Client and Server generate the master secret from the pre-master to generate the session key.

Therefore, Wireshark needs the server's private key to decrypt the client pre-master secret to order to generate the master secret to generate the session key to decrypt the SSL packet data!

A Tale of Two Connections

Good, we will get the client key exchange!

No.	Length Source	Destination	Protocol Stream inde	s Sess ID Len	livfo:	
15	5 02 BCR5MN911	proxy-ma.	TCP		SATRSETIBIES [SYN] Seq-	112491139 WineB192 Lan=0 MSS=1460 SACK_PERM=5
154	6 63 proxy-mb.	8C85H4911	TOP	9	9110[1]54785 [SYN, ACK]	Seq=571685110 Ack=312491140 Win=14600 Lan=0 MS
15	7 54 BCR5MV911	proxy-mb.	TCP	.9	54785[[]]9119 [ACK] Seq-	-312491140 Ack-523685151 Win+64240 Len+0
139	9 62 0C85M911	proxy-sb.	TCF	10	34789[[]9119 [EVN] 1eq-	2035020690 Win=8192 Len=0 MS5=1460 SACK, FERM=1
16.	1 62 praxy-mb.	PCESMN911	TOP	10	91190134789 [SYN, ACK]	Seg=3851424993 Ack=2035020691 Win=14500 Len=0
16	2 54 BCBSMN911	proxy-ab.	TCP	10	54789[[]]9119 [ACK] eq-	2035020691 Ack=3851424994 win=64240 Len=0
17	0 292 BCBSMN911	proxy-mb.	HTTP	9	CONNECT encrypted-ton3.	.gstatic.com:443 HTTP/1.1
17	1 270 BCR5MN911	proxy-mb.	HTTP	10	CONNECT ssl.gstatic com	n:443 HTTP/1.1
17.	2 60 proxy-mb.	BCBSMN911	TCP	9	91190134785 [ACK] teq-	-523685151 Ack-312491378 Win-15544 Len-0
17	4 60 proxy-mb.	BCBSMN911	TCF	10	9119[[]54789 [ACK] 104-	-3851424994 Ack=2035020907 Win=15544 Len=0
19	4 192 proxy-mb.	BCBSMN911	HTTP	10	HTTP/1.1 200 Connection	n established
2.8	S DER HEITERWERTE	Constant Provide States and States			Distance in the literation	
19	6 192 proxy-mb.	BCBSMN911	HTTP	9	HTTF/1.1 200 Connection	n established
11						
					Serverates	
21	1 504 proxy-mb.	BCBSMN911	TCP	10	[TCF segment of a wass	senbled PDU]
.21	2 54 BCR5MN911	proxy-mb.	TCP	10	54789[[]]9119 [ACK] Seq-	-2035021121 Ack-3851427042 Win-64240 Len-0
21	5 1514 proxy-mb.	BCBSMN911	TCP	10	[TCP segnent of a reass	sembled PDU]
	 State processing. 	STREET, STREET	Real	10	A CALCULATION OF A CALC	
-25	7 54 BCESMN911	proxy-eb.	TCP	19	347#9[[]9119 [ACK Seq-	-2035021121 Ack=3851429046 Win=64240 Len=0
21	9 60 proxy-mb.	BCB5MN911	TCF.	9	9119[[]54785 [ACH] Seq-	523685289 Ack-312491895 Win=16616 Len=0
100					Service della d'ange it	pher Spec. Well's dispersy, Wells depart
1 221					Chiner, Key Excludinge. (7	hange Cipher Spec. Hells Report, Hells Reviewt.
11	5 241 003040011	Contract distances	11562.2		Diamon Cipher Spec. Hel	The Norsent, Hallis Resurat
22	7 60 presy-mb.	BC85MV911	TCP		9119[[]54785 [ACK] Seq=	•523585442 Ack=312492082 Win=17688 Len=0
23	8 60 proxy-mb.	BCBSM0911	TCP	10	9119[1]54789 [ACK] Seq-	-3851429046 Ack=2035021383 Win=17688 Len=0
24	1 98 proxy-sb.	BCB5MN911	TCF	10	[TCF segment of a reass	sembled POU]
24	2 152 proxy-mb.	BCBSMN911	TL5v1.2	19 C	Application Data, Appli	Scation Data
	3 284 provy-off, pages with a second	REPARTS AND A REPART OF A	115612	10	Been Server (100 TYCHET	
24	4 54 BCESMN911	proxy-mb.	TCP	10	54789[[]9119 [ACK] Seq-	-2035021383 Ack=1851429422 Win=03864 Len=0
- 25	2 54 RCR34N911	proxy-m.	10.5	1997 - E	\$4785[[]]9159 [ACK] 5eq-	-312492082 Ack-523685540 kin-63851 Len-0

Rats, the client is reusing a previous session ID and the server accepts.

What if we don't have the client key exchange*?

- If your SSL session reused the Session ID...
 - Try to find a trace containing the original handshake containing the key exchange and pre-pend it
- Use Fiddler or similar
 - As a proxy that runs on the client
 - As a proxy on another workstation & point the remote client to it
- Use client pre-master secret logged by Chrome or Firefox + Wireshark
 SANS Institute
 - This is cool 'cuz we don't need the server key to decrypt it
- When all else fails...
 - Use knowledge of TCP & SSL segmentation to watch for inefficiencies
 - SSL payload size (small is probably ok for SSH but not FTP)!
 - Identify unlike flows across firewalls using encrypted data pattern matching
 - Look for other factors that throttle throughput in other sessions

*Or the client key exchange uses Diffie-Hellman in which we are

even if we posses the server key.

Search This.

Diffie-Hellman

- Described in a 1976 White Paper by Whitfield Diffie and Martin Hellman
- Protects against long-term key compromise (i.e. server keys!)
- Is not SSL specific, can be used for any secret information exchange
- Client generates a random number, as does server
 - Thus forms a way for the client to encrypt the pre-master (already encrypted with the server's public key) back to the server

Diffie-Hellman



Use Case: Firewall Pattern Matching

- Perimeter firewalls NAT from private to public IP
 - Terminates TCP but maintain SSL session data
 - Unfortunately, we cannot say the same for proxy servers, load balancers, or anything else that terminates SSL connections
- Simply grab some binary data (i.e. encrypted) from SSL on one side of the firewall and filter on it to find the other side
- Once you have a match, you can then filter on the TCP streams and determine the firewall delay and other characteristics
 - Do not use SPANs nor multiple sniffers due to delays and timestamp synchronization
 - Best practice is to use taps above and below the firewall that feed a common sniffer or are combined via a visibility fabric (Apcon, Big Switch, Gigamon, Ixia, VSS, etc.)
- Also works great for following encrypted VMWare VDI streams (filter on UDP payload) across multiple tiers

Using Wireshark to Find NATed SSL Flows

Start with a pool of packets captures inside and outside of the firewall...

Same	1995 B	ate first	Just	Partotet	100	Der Hit
210134	- 77	·	appentiver	372.38.35	The	3847 AVE [[28283 24(N2 Sup-#21977642 Ave-443/98317 Wine-24080 [phot
110257	624	8.000005 8./eyos/	101.045.00	potophed	Tubuk .	Its Application data, Application Data
trmite.		A. MARCEL & Tabling	whigh-Triver	177,18,81	919	BART BEELEVEN (ACA) SAU-ELEVENT ALE-ELEVENT ALE-ELEVENT LAN-E
110339		8.300ELS 8.784382	aviation	parteril be	127	18 THULEEULTOP (PDR, 400) Ten-474172040 wid-1014822000 WHO/818
210340		+.000022 8.74830H	methods wa	10 HETTH 2114	10H3	1942 Application Data, Application Data
CTRONG T		COMPANY OF COMPLETE	Letter Half	Contract of the local division of the local	1167	This TTP Assignmentation [Linkingerine
110242	88	8.000025 5.7#FEST	101001000	press and	1017	310+ Cort man true
LULIN		a doctrie a parente	Mediterrate a	CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNE	Contraction of the local division of the loc	Contraction of the second s
1101704	1111		peritory.m	40101013-01	127	and [TD: segment at a restrantive Phr]
DAILDet	238	\$.000000 \$.788.075	The local division of	44.378.80	157	\$3P (\$P5-0048481933)

2 Filter on some SSL data from the flow of interest into the firewall...

Title	ad approximite	hes/4148.00	0.0000.0000.000	Collection (Collection - De	etterit. Dat 1	Seid 1 2	Nile HTTPG	
No. 1	Langth De	la .	Site .	laurae .	Dattration		Padaud	New Jole
ALC: NO.	11110			property and a second second second	001103071700		Contraction of the second	Contraction and the local division of the lo
1,10289	333.8	8.000068	0.789420	post12013.outlines.com	DOD/94DETL.		TL5+1	BTL Applituation Data
= Intar = Trans = Secur	net Protoc visition Ca e Sockets v1 Record ontent Typ ercluit: Ti ength: 13 hcrystef A	ol Version Arrol Prot Layer Leyer: Applic 5 1.0 (Dur pelication	e 4, dit: notal, Sec plication action Data 1901) v Data: Of	puddi035 uurlook com (Porri 443 (443), Bar P Data Protocol, Http (21)	37,36,338,124), 0 Gert: William (Anisa)	al: mbietar3), Segr 5461	1-00- 335557, 64%)	995087239, Lewi 1460

 Which picks up the matching flow on the other side of the firewall.

3 We now have our two flows either side of the firewall for focused analysis

TRUE	-	- HE of tax desires 475		Apartes. Car 1977	las Dille HTIDE	
Sec. 11.1	angel 1	iele Tres	Second 1	Designation.	Postenati	free bits
4.5580	1138	4.000012-0.479882	(meth1017.mst	SCEDWRHALL	TOP	ATS [TCP segment of a reasonabled TON]
85948	1534	1,000/87 1,474488	pod553131.mt	mintari-el-	TEP	My [TCP segment of a reasont lad PW]
92438	1158	0.000688 S.47452F	pod30001.out-	SCEDMORACE1	104	875 TICP sugners of a reasonabled f003
85968	1010	H.900005 S.474522	petfillt.eut	-De-1+1191	112	ADD [TLT segment of a encounterlant PDA]
45472	1118	8.000881.0.474357	pad510121.cot	80209989011	-710P	and (NCF segment of a reasonablest role)
86124	1134	A-00427 8-475234	good's CATTLE and	esterar5-ed-	:109	and [ICF sugerns of a reasonabled PEC]
44329	11184	W. SODOLI 5. 475238	putting, aut	##1415/1-#1	707	AND CTCP segment of a reasonabled PDS)
00118	1716.	0.000613 0.475289	pediatin.net	RESIMMENTS	107	arts (YCP singment of a reasonables res)
##119	11138	4.000ex2 0.479005	pudStitut.out	BCBSHNERAY3	109	all [TCP suggest of a reaccestiled PDS]
86255	88	N.000818 D.476119	\$C\$55468451	pod(1013.mit.	109	871.99388[[])643 [ADA] 540-99508(285 Aca-545992585 Win-1788 Lan-8
06252	2	0.000003 0.470122	mittar1-40-	ped01033.mvt	109	THE RECENT AND SHE PRIDE ACCORDED ACCORDED WIN-1788 LAN-8
86260	104	d.000016 3.474178	and Littl. nut	menner1-al-	104	and (TCP property of a reasonedilate PDD)
86379	1558	\$1.0000H1 5:478245	painter, out	8030m49411	109	art (NCF segment of a reasonablest PRC)

Use Case: Slow eMail Migration

- Migrating user's mailboxes from internal Lotus Notes servers to Microsoft Office 365 in the Cloud
 - Typical mailbox size was 50 GB
 - Throughput varied from 200-500 kbps over a 1 gig Internet pipe
 - 4k users @ 1 hour per user = 166 days!
- Subsequent web proxy bypass did not help nor did moving to DMZ
- Graphing the I/O revealed a potential problem area



Use Case: Slow eMail Migration

- A pattern emerged when walking through the SSL & checking neighboring flows
 - A **second flow** (in red below) running was clearly controlling the throughput
 - The throttling was set to approximate three bursts or blocks of data per second
 - Properties could not be changed, i.e. they are controlled by the (MS) cloud server

		Ho.	Leight	Defin	Time	Seutes	Distinution	Protocol	ber
			27	64 0,00003300	0.054483000	Proxy	MigrationServer	TCP	9119[T]62630 [ACK] 5eg-1843722766 Ack-525495198 Win-3006 Len-0
			28 15	18 0.00007800	0.054561000	HigrationServer	fraxy	T1.5v2	Application Data
			29 15	18 0.00001300	0.054574000	MigrationServer	Proxy	TCP	[TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
			20 12	0.00000900	0.054583000	MigrationServer.	Proxy	TLSv2	Application Data
			11	64 0.00015900	0.0.054742000	Proxy	MigrationServer	TCP	9119[[]62830 [ACK] Seq=1843722766 Ack=525499352 win=2087 Lan=0
			32 4	64 0,000027000	0.054812000	MigrationServer	Pravy	TESV2	Application Data, Application Data
			31	64 0.00063000	0.055442000	Proxy	MigrationServer	TCP	9119[[[67830 [ACX] Seg-1843722708 Ack-525500813 win-2979 Len-0
			34	0.00004600	0.035488000	Proxy	MigrationServer	TCP	9119[[]62630 [ACK] Seg-1843722766 Ack-325503306 Win-2967 Len-0
Ston			35	64 0.00019300	0.055681000	Proxy	MigrationServer	TCP	9119[]]62830 [ACK] Seq-1843722766 Ack-325503832 win-2964 Len-0
Stop		_	36 . 1	0.36824000	0.0.423921000	Froxy	MigrationServer	TL5v1	Application Data, Application Data
	Wait –		37 2	0.04127600	0.0.469197000	Praxy	MigrationServer	TLSe1	Application Data, Application Data
<u>.</u>		-	18	64 0.00013400	0.469531000	Higration5krver	Proxy.	TCP	62831[[]]0319 [ACK] Seg-653341607 Ack-3420581507 wine511 Lane0
Start			38 35	18 0,00321100	0.0.472742000	MigrationServer	Pravy	TLSv2	Application Data
			40 15	18 0:00001100	0.0.472755000	HigrationServer	Proxy	TCP	[TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
			41 12	0.00000900	0.0.472762000	MigrationServer	Proxy	TL5v1	Application Data
			42 15	LE 0.00039400	0,473136000	HigrationServer	Prosy	TL5v1	Application Data

Ston	>	-87	64	0.000383000 0.478338000	Prosy	WigrationServer.	TCF	9139[[]62830 [ACK] Seg=1843722766 Ack=525529250 Win=2980 Len=0
Otop	-	58	356	0.380602000 0.855961000	Provy	HigrationServer	TLSv1	Application Data, Application Data, Application Data
	Wait -	.68	132	0.053061000 0.909032900	Proxy	HigrationServer	TESVE	Application Data
		70		0.000460000 0.909482000	HigrationServer	Proxy	-107	62831[[]9119 [ACK] Sequil33341647 Ark=3420381969 Win=510 Len=0
Start	\rightarrow	71	1518	0.000197000 0.915879000	MigrationServer	Proxy	TLSv1	Application Data

Use Case: Slow eMail Migration

- Each data stream was equated to one piece of mail
 - Due to control channel, conversion rate was approximately three emails per second(!)
 - Another potential optimization was to increase the application layer block size to greater than 12k (which we derived from the SSL segment size of 4112 bytes x 3 per turn)

	64	0.000147000 D.473624000	Presy	HigrationServer	308	ULINITIM/HIG	EACK3	Sep-1843723768	Ack+525501342	utne3072 Lated
-418		11.000010000 0.4736/w000	Provy	HigrationServer	101	9319077942810	EACK3	Seg-1841722768	Ara-529508086	W18-3072 Len-8
50	54	0.000405000 0.474079000	Prony	HigrationServer	TOP	9110ETD02930	E4CR3	5eq-1843722788	All-525512240	Win+2081 1 (m-0
22	1318	0.000046000 0.474145000	WigrationServer	Prove	TLSvI	Apolication 1	INTR.			
32	1518	3.300013000 B.474138000	Wigratiunderver	Pravy	TOP	ETCP anuments	-1-	rnaisembled PDI	1	
33	1292	8,000000000 8,474187000	MigrationServer	Prass	71541	Application 2	lete			
				1111	- 22.0	17-0-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	67.0			
i) Interne i) Transmi	T Pruts	col Version 4, Src: Migra antrol Protocol, Src Port	ntienderver Clinic w2010 (%2030), 1	Det Port: 8110 (85) CUTTLE 102. Set	9 17 121559334, J	wika ja	443722766, Sein	3234	
II Secure	Seckets	Launr		CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER.						
III TLSVI Cir Ver Lir Enc	E Record stant Ty ration: T wpth: 41 crysted	FLAyer: Application Data per Application Data (20) LS 3.0 (0e0301) L2 Application Data: 1faa5f1	Fritocol: Applica	tion Data	c1108e.					

- Solution was to run multiple severs simultaneously with multiple mailbox migrations per server to the cloud, which is per MS recommendation
 - We were running up to 40 migrations in parallel at the peak
 - All mailboxes were migrated in under 30 days

Wrapping it Up

- First gain a solid understanding of the general application layer commandresponse characteristics in the unencrypted world (HTTP, SQL, mail, etc.)
 - Pretend that the SSL layer *is* the application layer and apply those characteristics
- Figure out who is the client and who provides the data
 - Usually the client opens the connection, but not always!
- Breakdown the TCP segmentation and the SSL segmentation
 - Ensure that the SSL segment size makes sense for the application (SSH vs. HTTPS for instance)
- Identifying network from back-end response time is easier but must use patterns and neighboring flows for more complex cases



Thank You!

Contact us!

scott.haugdahl@bluecrossmn.com

robert.bullen@bluecrossmn.com

